

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 10 2008  
www.jdmda.com

120 Broad Street, 39th Floor  
New York, New York 10004-2400  
Tel: 212.422.0202 Fax: 212.422.0925

January 10, 2008

**BY FACSIMILE: (212) 805-7901**  
Honorable Harold Baer, Jr.  
United States District Judge  
United States District Court  
Southern District of New York  
Daniel Patrick Moynihan Courthouse  
500 Pearl Street, Room 2230  
New York, New York 10007

<b>USDS SDNY</b>
<b>DOCUMENT</b>
<b>ELECTRONICALLY FILED</b>
DOC #: _____
DATE FILED: 1/16/08

HAROLD BAER  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE  
S. D. N.Y.

Re: Nancy Green v. Bristol Myers Squibb Company & Schering Corporation  
SDNY Docket No: 07 cv 7423  
File No.: 0196-005952

Dear Judge Baer:

We are writing on behalf of all parties as a follow-up to your Honor's endorsement of our January 8, 2008 letter, in which you requested that we suggest how to avoid simultaneous trials for the "new-onset diabetes" group and the "glycemic event" group. The parties have conferred and jointly request that the "new-onset diabetes" group be placed on the Court's January 2009 Trailing Trial Calendar and that the "glycemic event" group be placed on the February 2009 Trailing Trial Calendar. Although the "glycemic event" group would be moved to the February 2009 calendar, the underlying discovery dates would not change and would run parallel to the "new-onset diabetes" group.

Attached, for your consideration, is a "Pretrial Scheduling Orders for Consolidated Cases" for the "new-onset diabetes" group (*Green, Bills, Felton & Owen*) and one for the "glycemic event" group (*Grantham & Bekemeyer*).

The parties will make themselves available at your convenience should you have any questions or comments.

January 10, 2008

Page 2

Thank you for your consideration of our joint request.

Respectfully Submitted,



Matthew J. McCauley

Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold LLP

MJM/

cc: **By Email and First-Class Mail**  
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
2425 East Camelback Road, Suite 650  
Phoenix, AZ 85016

These are fine - I have changed the # of days because I do the first PTC you estimated all would take 21 trial days & this two trial should take 11 or less each.

2) I am not anxious to tie up my courtroom for 2 full months and this you, if you believe now that each side takes 21 days best start making schedules to meet my estimate on my estimate totaling 21 days or thereabouts and

3) you won't mind because the cases are going to be settled or 20 years ago

4) you said me a copy of a PTC (or 2) I will raise a question of trial or call chambers.

Harold Baer, Jr., U.S.D.J.  
1/15/08

Endorsement:

These are fine - I have changed the number of days because

- (1) at the first PTC you estimated all would take 21 trial days and thus two trials should take 11 or less each;
- (2) I am not anxious to tie up my courtroom for 2 full months and thus you, if you believe now that each case takes 21 days best start making schedules to meet my estimate or any estimate totaling 21 days or thereabouts and
- (3) you won't mind because the cases are going to be settled or so you opine.
- (4) If you send me a copy of a fully executed PTSO (or 2) I will be in your debt and if this i.e., the number of trial days raises a problem you may call Chambers.